SINGLE BENCH

P.R. No. 3982/06 1001/- S
Presented by Shri B D Baghel
dated 26/7/06

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S)NO. 3942 /2006

PETITIONER : **SHIV PRASAD OJHA**
aged about 45 years,
S/o Late Indar Ojha B.D.B.
Assistant Teacher, Primary School
Bhursundi, Tahsl Jagdalpur, Distt.
Bastar (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :1. **STATE OF CHHATTISGARH**
Through : Secretary
General Administrative Department
Mantralaya D.K.S., Bhawan , Raipur
(C.G.)

2. The Collector
Korea, Bastar (C.G.)

3. Block Development Education
Officer, Tribal Block -Bastar
Jagdalpur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
26/7/06
CLERK TO A. G. BILASPUR

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
CG-1073/95
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

WRIT PETITION U/A 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 3942/06 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	7-8-2006 Mr. D.R. Sharma, Senior Advocate with Mr. B.D. Badgaiyan, Advocate, for the petitioner. Mr. G.K. Beriwal, Deputy Advocate General for the State/ respondents, on advance copy. Heard. By the instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged his transfer order dated 7-7-2006 passed by respondent No.2, whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Bhursundi, Bastar to Tirathgarh, Darbha, on his own cost. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that the petitioner has been transferred showing that he has been transferred on his own request, whereas, no such request was made by the petitioner, therefore, he has been transferred on a wrong ground. He submits that therefore, the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.1 to which learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner appears to be just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the	

(पीछे देखिये)

23

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation annexing copy of the instant writ petition, raising all his grievances to respondent No.2 within ten days from today and in turn, respondent No.2 shall decide the same within 30 days from the date of its receipt, considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner, in an objective manner, in accordance with law. In the mean while, if the petitioner has not been relieved till date, he shall not be relieved, till the representation filed by him is decided.

With the directions aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.3067/2006 also stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Soma

(पीछे देखिये)

18.8.06 18.8.06